

Stakeholder Engagement Report Wolaita sodo

LOW INFLUENCE

LOW INTEREST

HIGH INTEREST



Stakeholder Engagement Report Wolaita Sodo

12 July 2023

Produced By: Matsala Gizachew, Sodo Water Utility, Wolaita sodo, Southern, Ethiopia.

© Copyright

All Toolbox by FSMA materials are freely available following the open-source concept for capacity development and non-profit use, so long as proper acknowledgement of the source is made when used. Users should always give credit in citations to the original author, source and copyright holder.

Please visit us on www.fsmtoolbox.com



Stakeholder engagement planning helps you identify and classify stakeholders in the FSM ecosystem based on their level of interest and influence. It also provides broad guidelines for engagement for each category of stakeholders. Stakeholders offer value to their local FSM ecosystem in the following ways:

- Play a vital role across the FSM value chain (construction of toilets/desludging services/treatment/sale or safe disposal of treated sanitation products) such as infrastructure development, service delivery, planning, financing, regulation, capacity building, monitoring, IEC/BCC.
- Be a beneficiary at any part of the value chain.
- Learn/support/oppose the overall implementation of the FSM project.

Basic Information about your city:

• City Name: Wolaita sodo

State: SouthernCountry: Ethiopia

• Total Population: 331270

| Typology | Stakeholder Types | Stakeholder Names |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Local Government | Political party - ruling | ■ GPP |
| | Mayors | ■π |
| | Local legislators | ■ SL |
| | Regional municipal authority | TY |
| | Political party – opposition | ■ WP |
| | Local government/local municipal authority | ■ SM |
| Key Representatives of the society | Poor households | ■ AA |
| | Better-off households | ■ DM |
| | Environmentalists | ZEP |
| | Workers union | TAs |
| | | |



| | Academia | wsu |
|------------------------|--|---------|
| | NGOs/CBOs/welfare groups | WDA |
| | Media | ■ WTV |
| City service providers | Small business owners (general) | ■ DPAS |
| | Medium business owners (general) | AZ |
| | Property developers | SHA |
| | Mechanical and manual emptiers | S SW |
| | Sweepers/sanitation laborers | SWA |
| | Sewerage/treatment plant engineers | ■ SU TP |
| | Large business owners & industrialists (general) | ■ YA |
| | Landlords | ■ YB |
| | Potential end users - farmers/industries | ■ WFU |
| | Masons | ■ WSC |
| | Consultants | DCA |
| Donor Agencies | BMGF | MWE |
| | WB | MWE |
| | AFWSA | UGANDA |



Interest-Influence Mapping

LOW INTEREST

The scoring scale for Interest and Influence of FSM stakeholders consists of 6 levels – Unknown, Little or None, Some, Moderate, High and Crucial.

Interest is characterized by the stakeholders' needs, constraints and problems being a priority in the FSM strategy. **Influence** is the power that stakeholders have on the project i.e. in terms of controlling the decision-making process and facilitating the implementation

You can view the relative positioning of the stakeholders in the Interest-Influence Matrix based on the rating given online in the following figure.





HIGH INTEREST

Recommendations

The stakeholders are classified into four groups based on the positioning in the interest-influence matrix. A set of guidelines for collaboration for each category of stakeholder are provided below.

High Interest + High Influence

These stakeholders should be closely involved throughout the preparation and implementation of the project to ensure their support for the project. It is recommended that these stakeholders are utilized for Consultation, Collaboration & Delegation of responsibilities. In your local scenario, they are:

| Typology | Stakeholder Types | Stakeholder Names |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Key Representatives of the society | Poor households | ■ AA |
| | Better-off households | ■ DM |
| | Workers union | TAs |
| | NGOs/CBOs/welfare groups | WDA |
| | Academia | wsu |
| | Media | WTV |
| | Environmentalists | ■ ZEP |
| City service providers | Consultants | ■ DCA |
| | Mechanical and manual emptiers | ■ S SW |
| | Property developers | SHA |
| | Sewerage/treatment plant engineers | ■ SU TP |
| | Sweepers/sanitation laborers | SWA |
| | Potential end users - farmers/industries | ■ WFU |
| | Masons | ■ WSC |
| | Large business owners & industrialists (general) | ■ YA |



| | Landlords | ■YB |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| Donor Agencies | BMGF | MWE |
| | WB | MWE |
| | AFWSA | UGANDA |
| Local Government | Local legislators | ■ SL |
| | Local government/local municipal authority | ■ SM |
| | Mayors | ■П |
| | Regional municipal authority | ■TY |
| | Political party – opposition | ■ WP |

High Influence + Low Interest

These stakeholders may be consulted, but must be well informed about project progress.. In your local scenario, they are:

| Typology | Stakeholder Types | Stakeholder Names |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| City service providers | Small business owners (general) | ■ DPAS |

Low Influence + Low Interest

These stakeholders may be informed about the project progress at key stages of the project lifecycle..

In your local scenario, they are:

| Typology | Stakeholder Types | Stakeholder Names |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| City service providers | Medium business owners (general) | ■ AZ |
| Local Government | Political party – ruling | ■ GPP |



Click to view knowledge materials that are most relevant to your city

