



Odors and FSM: Impacts and How to Deal with the Stench

Marc Deshusses*

Stewart Farling*, Siddharth Kawadiya*,
Kate Stetina**, Karl Linden**

***Duke University, **University of Colorado Boulder**



University of Colorado
Boulder



Is Odor the Elephant in the Room?



Outline

- Odor measurement and control
- Our survey of odor issues in FSM
- Fecal odor control using biofilters
- Bioaerosols in FSM... should we worry?
- Conclusions

Odor Measurement

Odor can be quantified by **Dilution-to-Threshold (D/T)** method

D/T = number of dilutions required to reach the detection level

(Other methods are used to describe sensory aspects)

Field and lab
olfactometry



Around sewage treatment plant: 100-300 D/T

Process air sewage treatment: 1000-5000 D/T

Very bad public toilet: 200-5000 D/T

Rendering plant process air: >1,000,000 D/T

A few odor thresholds:

Skatole: 0.002 - 50 ppb_v

Indole: 0.5 - 2 ppb_v


H₂S: 0.5 - 3 ppb_v

Butyric acid: 0.1 - 20 ppb_v

Methylamine: 1 - 50 ppb_v

NH₃: 5000 - 20,000 ppb_v

Odor Emissions – Odor Control Approaches



Odor Control Methods

Prevention

Avoid formation
or release

Control

Capture
Destruction
Transformation

Sensory Methods

Masking
Interference

Our odor survey showed that malodor is a critical issue in FSM

~260 responses from a variety of people around the world: 57 countries
Top 3: India, Kenya, Uganda = only 23% of responses



How important is malodor as a barrier to toilet/latrine adoption?


| Answer | Response | % |
|--------------------|----------|------|
| Irrelevant | 1 | 0% |
| Not very important | 12 | 5% |
| Important | 124 | 50% |
| Very important | 113 | 45% |
| Total | 250 | 100% |

95%

See full survey report at <http://tinyurl.com/FSM-odor-report>



Malodors have a significant impact on behavior and toilet use




See full survey report at <http://tinyurl.com/FSM-odor-report>



Toilet or latrine odor vs. characteristics

■ Unbearable or Very Bad ■ Unpleasant ■ Little or no odor



- Ventilation, cleaning, odor seal and urine diversion all play a role
- Urine diversion and cleaning perhaps most influential


See full survey report at <http://tinyurl.com/FSM-odor-report>



Biofiltration of fecal malodors



**Pollutant, odor
or air toxic**

+



Harmless end-products

- Simple to build and operate
- Made of inexpensive materials
- Easily scalable



Lab-scale biofilters (10 L_{air}/min each)


Continuous biofiltration of fecal malodors: Objectives

- Determine fecal odor removal efficacy
- Determine effect of packings:
 - Zeolite
 - Lava rock (LR) w/ and w/o Febreze
 - Improved BF mix
 - Pine char
 - Sheep dropping char
- All inoculated with activated sludge
- Odor makeup very similar to field latrine
 - ~1 year continuous operation with detailed monitoring
 - Regular H₂S and olfactometry assessment


| Flowrate each column | |
|---------------------------|---------|
| Odoriferous air flowrate | 11 LPM |
| Gas residence time | 10 s |
| Concentrations (µg/L-air) | |
| Hydrogen sulfide | 0.10 |
| Butyric acid | 0.0050 |
| P-cresol | 0.0030 |
| Indole | 0.00030 |




Biofiltration results: H₂S




Biofiltration results: H₂S



Biofiltration results: Odor



Biofiltration results: Odor




- High variability (common for odor)
- Trends are similar
- Odor character changed to less offensive.

Static biofiltration = Odor control pouch...

A versatile means to control odor?


- Idea: adsorbent and biologically active material in a pouch to remove odors where needed
- Test pouch with different mixes (compost, bark, activated carbon, mineral nutrients, etc.) for the removal of fecal odor
- Odor mix: indole, butyric acid, p-cresol and H₂S



Typical results from static biofiltration

Odor


Odor (D/T) vs Time- Day 10



Typical results from static biofiltration

H₂S


H₂S Conc vs Time- Day 11



Odor control pouch: empirical evidence of odor control efficacy

We have used them in a variety of applications

- Odorant chemical storage in the lab
- Trash cans
- Effluent tank of anaerobic digester
- Outhouse near campus



We need more
"real-life" testing



Odor measurements in the field

At RTI's system, North Carolina

- Identified odor emission points: drying plate, fecal fuel additions, main extruder
- Significant odor emissions **~400-700 D/T**
 - Odor character was barnyard and manure during drying
 - Extruder odor mainly fecal odor, was most offensive
- Highest odor associated with non-continuous operations

Measurements at RTI Reinvented Toilet prototype in Ahmedabad

- To be conducted after FSM4

Odor monitoring before and during pit emptying in Blantyre, Malawi

- Measured 7 unimproved pit latrines
- Odors varied with pit construction and maintenance
- Generally odor levels were **~60 – 120 D/T**
- Worst two pits were about **400-800 D/T**
- One had strong ammonia smell,
- One well kept clean latrine had almost no odor
- Measurements during pit emptying were too dynamic As soon truck was on, the surroundings stunk (**~60-200 D/T**)



Bioaerosols measurements


Sampled for bioaerosols during pit emptying in Blantyre, Malawi

- Direct counting total coliforms and *E. coli* on selective medium
- Growth on plate, DNA extraction, RT-PCR (Luminex Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel) at Georgia Tech (Joe Brown's lab)
= presence / absence test

Findings

- Total coliforms were found in bioaerosols
4-20 CFU/m³ (350 CFU/m³ during fluidization)
- **Of the 7 pits, 4 air samples tested positive for enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC)**
- Data showed a large variability

Similar sampling at RTI during their testing showed some **coliforms were found in bioaerosols** near the system, but **no *E. coli*** was found.



See poster R8 for
more details

Conclusions

- Odor is an important risk factor
- R & D with odor is challenging
- We have several means to treat fecal odor: continuous biofilters, adsorption onto biochar, or odor control pouches, and more
- Enteric pathogens can be aerosolized during pit emptying... Are they a health risk?

- Many knowledge gaps remain
 - Spatial-temporal odor emissions during FSM
 - Odors from fecal sludge combustion, other unknown odors
 - Small scale odor transport (CFD)
 - Field validation of odor control systems
 - We don't know much about bioaerosols and FSM

Acknowledgments: Gates Foundation for funding

marc.deshusses@duke.edu

<http://sanitation.pratt.duke.edu/>

